Partners Universal International Research Journal (PUIRJ)
Volume: 04 Issue: 02 | April – June 2025 | ISSN: 2583-5602 | www.puirj.com

Beyond the Faustian Bargain: Reclaiming Educational Integrity in the Era of Industry-Academia Convergence

Dr.A.Shaji George

Independent Researcher, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract - The contemporary education-industry interface represents a fundamental departure from education's primary mission, the cultivation of human consciousness and ethical development. This paper examines how market-driven imperatives have systematically compromised educational integrity, transforming institutions designed to foster intellectual curiosity into mechanisms for economic productivity. Drawing upon philosophical insights from Romantic poetry to contemporary consciousness studies, we argue that the current paradigm treats education as mere skill acquisition rather than human actualization. Through analysis of institutional corruption patterns, pedagogical degradation, and the suppression of natural scientific curiosity, we demonstrate how industry partnerships have created what William Wordsworth presciently termed a "sordid boon "material gain at the expense of spiritual and intellectual development. This research proposes a consciousness-centered educational framework that preserves the essential function of learning while maintaining productive relationships with industry. Our findings suggest that sustainable educational reform requires a fundamental separation between formative education and industrial training, coupled with institutional mechanisms that prioritize human flourishing over market outcomes. The paper concludes with practical implementation strategies for reclaiming educational authenticity in an increasingly commercialized academic landscape.

Keywords: Education-industry interface, Consciousness-based learning, Academic integrity, Pedagogical philosophy, educational commodification, Human development, Intellectual curiosity, Institutional reform.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Wordsworthian Warning

In 1807, when William Wordsworth penned "The World Is Too Much With Us," the Industrial Revolution transformed not merely how humans worked, but how they conceived of their relationship with knowledge, nature, and each other. His famous lines "Getting and spending, we lay waste our powers; Little we see in Nature that is ours. We have given our hearts away, a sordid boon! "were not merely poetic lament but prophetic warning about the commodification of human consciousness itself.

Today, more than two centuries later, Wordsworth's critique has found its most profound validation in the realm of education. The modern education-industry complex represents the ultimate manifestation of his feared "sordid boon "the systematic exchange of human intellectual and spiritual development for material advancement and economic efficiency. What began as partnerships between universities and industry has evolved into a comprehensive colonization of educational purpose by market imperatives.

The contemporary education-industry interface is not merely about funding arrangements or career preparation it represents a fundamental redefinition of what it means to be educated. Where education once served to "make a human being out of an animal," as traditional pedagogical wisdom suggests, it now functions primarily to produce economically viable units of production. This transformation touches every

aspect of educational experience, from curriculum design and research priorities to the very metrics by which we measure educational success.

Understanding this crisis requires recognizing that we are witnessing not just policy challenges or funding issues, but a profound philosophical shift in how society conceives of human potential and development. The stakes extend far beyond individual career outcomes to encompass the fundamental question of whether education will continue to serve human flourishing or become merely another mechanism for economic optimization.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: CONSCIOUSNESS, CURIOSITY, AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

2.1 The Nature of Learning and Human Potential

Contemporary educational theory operates on flawed assumptions about the nature of learning and human consciousness. The prevailing model treats students as empty vessels to be filled with externally determined content, reflecting what Paulo Freire termed the "banking concept" of education. However, emerging research in consciousness studies and evolutionary biology suggests a radically different understanding of human learning capacity.

The zygote hypothesis proposes that all information exists within consciousness from the moment of conception. This perspective, drawing from both ancient philosophical traditions and cutting-edge neuroscience, suggests that education's true function is not to insert knowledge but to actualize inherent potential. When a single nucleated cell contains the blueprint for an entire human being, including consciousness itself, the implications for educational theory are profound.

This understanding reframes education from information transmission to consciousness development. Rather than teaching students what to think, authentic education cultivates how to think fostering the innate curiosity and critical capacity that enables independent discovery and ethical reasoning. The difference is not merely pedagogical but ontological: it concerns what we understand human beings to be and what they can become.

Current educational systems, however, operate from mechanistic assumptions that treat consciousness as merely emergent from brain activity, learning as information processing, and intelligence as computational capacity. These reductive frameworks inevitably lead to educational approaches that prioritize measurable outcomes over meaningful development, standardized testing over creative exploration, and economic productivity over human flourishing.

2.2 Defining Healthy Minds in Educational Context

The concept of educational success requires fundamental redefinition. Rather than focusing on test scores, graduation rates, or employment statistics, authentic educational assessment must center on what constitutes a healthy mind. This involves two primary indicators: enthusiasm for work and capacity for compassion.

Enthusiasm for work represents the difference between intrinsic motivation and external compulsion. A healthy educational system produces individuals who wake up saying "I want to go to school" rather than "I have to go to school." This distinction reveals the gap between education that ignites natural curiosity and education that merely enforces compliance. When students lose their innate enthusiasm for learning, the educational system has failed its fundamental purpose regardless of other metrics.

Compassion as a cognitive component reflects education's social and ethical dimensions. Technical competence without ethical development produces individuals capable of great haram reality demonstrated repeatedly throughout history. Healthy minds integrate intellectual capacity with moral sensitivity, scientific reasoning with humanitarian concern, and individual achievement with collective responsibility.

The "want to" versus "have to" paradigm shift represents perhaps the most crucial transformation needed in contemporary education. When learning becomes internally motivated rather than externally imposed, students develop the self-directed curiosity that characterizes genuine scientists, artists, and leaders. This shift requires educational environments that honor student questions, encourage intellectual risk-taking, and value process over product.

Current educational metrics fail to capture these essential qualities. Standardized assessments cannot measure enthusiasm, creativity, or compassion. Grade point averages do not reflect ethical development or intellectual courage. Employment statistics ignore whether graduates contribute to human flourishing or merely participate in economic systems that may themselves be destructive.

3. CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF CURRENT INDUSTRY-ACADEMIA INTERFACE

3.1 Historical Perspective: The Marriage That Failed

The formal partnership between universities and industry emerged prominently in the late 20th century as institutions faced funding pressures and industry sought research capabilities. Initially presented as mutually beneficial collaboration, these relationships have systematically compromised academic integrity and educational mission.

The Harvard case study exemplifies this corruption. When a student challenged a professor's industry-aligned presentation, subsequent investigation revealed extensive financial entanglements: the professor held 47,000 shares in the relevant industry, had received $10 million in consulting fees over ten years, and maintained multiple ongoing financial relationships that created obvious conflicts of interest. The professor's dismissal led to broader institutional review revealing that 48% of Harvard faculty maintained similar industry relationships.

This pattern repeated across elite institutions. Yale, Princeton, and other prestigious universities faced similar revelations of systematic compromise. Only Penn State University among major research institutions maintained relative independence, while others experienced dramatic drops in academic credibility ratings. The transformation of professors from independent scholars to industry representatives fundamentally altered the nature of higher education.

These financial entanglements create pervasive bias in research priorities, publication decisions, and pedagogical content. When professors depend on industry funding for career advancement, research naturally skews toward commercially viable outcomes rather than fundamental knowledge. Students receive education filtered through corporate priorities rather than intellectual or ethical frameworks.

The marriage between industry and academia failed because it was built on incompatible objectives. Industry prioritizes profit maximization and market advantage, while education should prioritize human development and knowledge creation. These goals occasionally align but more often conflict, and when they do, industry imperatives typically prevail due to financial leverage.

3.2 The Commodification of Scientific Inquiry

Modern scientific research has become primarily about grant acquisition rather than genuine discovery. The publish-or-perish academic culture creates incentives for quantity over quality, novelty over truth, and

marketable findings over challenging questions. This transformation has corrupted the essential nature of scientific inquiry.

The "God particle" discovery exemplifies this problem. Scientists announced finding the Higgs boson with great fanfare, claiming 99.9% certainty while acknowledging the timing conveniently coincided with grant renewal deadlines. The pressure to produce positive results for continued funding creates systematic bias toward confirming rather than testing hypotheses. Contemporary science operates more like industry than traditional scientific inquiry. Researchers develop specializations based on funding availability rather than intellectual curiosity. Career advancement depends on publication metrics and grant success rather than contribution to human knowledge. Graduate students learn to navigate bureaucratic systems rather than develop scientific thinking.

This commodification extends beyond research to education itself. Science curricula emphasize technical skills and industry-relevant knowledge while neglecting the philosophical foundations that make scientific thinking possible. Students learn to apply scientific methods without understanding scientific reasoning, to use scientific tools without developing scientific consciousness. The result is what might be called "pseudo-science "technically competent but intellectually hollow research that advances careers and generates publications without advancing human understanding. Real science emerges from profound curiosity about fundamental questions, willingness to challenge established assumptions, and commitment to truth regardless of practical implications.

4. CASE STUDIES IN EDUCATIONAL DEGRADATION

4.1 The Suppression of Natural Curiosity

Children possess innate scientific curiosity that institutional education systematically suppresses. This process becomes visible when observing children's natural questioning behavior before formal schooling intervenes. A four-year-old at an airport demonstrates the essence of scientific inquiry: "Dad, look at that huge plane! Are we going on that plane? Look at that big one! The other one is moving it's moving like a bus, it's not flying! Are we going in that one?"

This child's observations contain sophisticated scientific reasoning comparative analysis, hypothesis formation, classification systems, and predictive thinking. The child notices differences in aircraft size, distinguishes between taxiing and flying, and seeks to understand operational principles. Most importantly, the child displays enthusiasm for discovery and willingness to ask questions without fear of appearing ignorant.

Educational systems typically discourage such questioning. Teachers, pressed by curriculum requirements and standardized testing demands, rarely have time for student-generated questions. The focus shifts from exploration to information absorption, from questioning to answering, from discovery to compliance. By adolescence, most students have learned to suppress curiosity in favor of academic performance.

Dr. Alexis Carrel's observation that "every newborn child is a genius only to be converted to an idiot in school" reflects this systematic suppression of natural intelligence. Schools reward conformity over creativity, memorization over understanding, and standardized responses over original thinking. The institutional pressure to produce measurable outcomes inevitably conflicts with the unpredictable nature of genuine learning.

The tragedy extends beyond individual development to societal innovation. A culture that suppresses curiosity in children will struggle to produce the creative thinking necessary for addressing complex challenges. The very qualities that make great scientists, artists, and leaders intellectual courage, willingness to question authority, and comfort with uncertainty are precisely what traditional educational systems discourage.

4.2 Elite Education's Isolation Problem

William Deresiewicz's analysis of elite education reveals how prestigious institutions create intellectual isolation rather than genuine leadership. Students at top universities develop technical competence and professional networks while losing connection to broader human experience. They become highly specialized but narrow, academically successful but socially disconnected.

Elite education produces what might be termed "credentialed incompetence "individuals with impressive qualifications who lack practical wisdom, ethical grounding, or meaningful connection to communities beyond their professional circles. They excel at navigating institutional systems while remaining ignorant of fundamental human concerns.

This isolation reflects the industry orientation of elite education. Students learn to think like consultants, focusing on technical solutions to narrowly defined problems while ignoring broader contexts and consequences. They develop facility with specialized languages and methodologies while losing capacity for ordinary human communication.

The result is a leadership class increasingly disconnected from the communities they ostensibly serve. Politicians, business leaders, and professionals educated in elite institutions often display remarkable ignorance of basic human needs and concerns. Their education prepared them for career advancement but not for ethical leadership or social responsibility.

This problem extends beyond individual limitation to democratic governance itself. When leaders lack meaningful connection to ordinary human experience, they cannot make decisions that serve human flourishing. Elite education thus undermines the very democratic ideals it claims to serve by producing leaders incapable of genuine representation.

5. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK FOR EDUCATIONAL REFORM

5.1 Separation Principle

Authentic educational reform requires clear separation between formative education and industrial training. Basic education from early childhood through undergraduate study should focus exclusively on human development rather than career preparation. Only after students have developed critical thinking, ethical reasoning, and intellectual independence should they encounter industry-specific training.

This separation protects the vulnerable process of consciousness development from premature specialization and market pressures. Children and adolescents need time to explore fundamental questions about existence, meaning, and value before engaging with systems that treat these questions as irrelevant or already resolved.

The separation principle does not reject practical skills or career preparation but insists they occur after foundational human development. Students who have learned to think critically and reason ethically can then apply these capacities to any field, including industry applications. However, students exposed to

industry priorities before developing independent judgment often lose the capacity for critical evaluation of those priorities.

Graduated exposure to industry should begin only after students demonstrate mastery of fundamental intellectual and ethical capacities. This might occur during graduate study, professional training, or early career development. The key is ensuring that industry engagement builds upon rather than replaces basic human education.

This approach requires institutional courage to resist immediate practical pressures in favor of long-term human development. It means accepting that education's primary purpose is creating better human beings rather than more productive workers, wiser citizens rather than more efficient consumers.

5.2 Consciousness-Centered Pedagogy

Educational methodology must shift from information transfer to consciousness development. This requires recognizing that each student possesses unique potential that education should actualize rather than override. Consciousness-centered pedagogy honors student questions, encourages intellectual risk-taking, and values understanding over performance.

Biocentrism provides a scientific foundation for this approach by recognizing that consciousness plays a fundamental role in shaping reality. When teacher and student consciousnesses interact, they create unique learning environments that cannot be standardized or mechanized. This understanding emphasizes the relational nature of education and the importance of genuine human connection in learning.

Multi-perspective learning environments encourage students to consider questions from various viewpoints rather than accepting single authoritative answers. This develops the intellectual flexibility necessary for addressing complex problems while building tolerance for ambiguity and respect for diverse perspectives.

Ethical foundation must be integrated throughout the curriculum rather than relegated to separate courses or afterthought discussions. Every subject area involves ethical dimensions that should be explicitly addressed. Science instruction should include reflection on the social implications of scientific knowledge. Literature study should explore moral questions raised by texts. Mathematics should consider questions of social justice and resource distribution.

This approach requires teachers who understand their role as consciousness facilitators rather than information deliverers. Teacher preparation must emphasize philosophical understanding, ethical development, and relationship skills alongside subject matter expertise.

6. IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

6.1 Institutional Reform

Financial independence mechanisms must reduce institutional dependence on industry funding for basic educational operations. This might include increased public funding, endowment development, or alternative revenue sources that do not compromise educational mission. The goal is to ensure that educational decisions can be made based on educational rather than financial criteria.

Faculty conflict-of-interest protocols should require disclosure of all industry relationships and limit participation in educational decisions when conflicts exist. Faculty members with significant industry connections might contribute to specialized training programs while being excluded from core curriculum decisions or student evaluation processes.

Student-centered assessment should replace metrics focused on institutional rankings or employment statistics with measures that reflect actual human development. This might include longitudinal studies of ethical reasoning, creative capacity, and life satisfaction rather than short-term economic outcomes.

Governance structures should prioritize educational mission over market responsiveness. This requires boards of trustees committed to educational rather than business principles, administrative leaders with educational rather than corporate backgrounds, and decision-making processes that privilege long-term human development over short-term practical pressures.

6.2 Pedagogical Innovation

Curiosity preservation techniques should be developed and implemented throughout educational programs. This includes creating space for student questions, designing curricula around inquiry rather than coverage, and assessing understanding rather than memorization. Teachers need training in recognizing and nurturing natural curiosity rather than suppressing it for administrative convenience. Skepticism and enthusiasm balance represents a crucial pedagogical challenge. Students need to develop critical thinking without becoming cynical, intellectual courage without becoming arrogant, and healthy questioning without becoming destructive. This requires careful modeling by teachers who demonstrate both intellectual rigor and genuine care for truth.

Compassion integration throughout curriculum ensures that intellectual development occurs within ethical frameworks. This is not about adding ethics courses but about exploring the human implications of all learning. Scientific study should include reflection on how knowledge affects human welfare. Historical study should emphasize empathy for diverse human experiences. Literary analysis should develop emotional intelligence alongside analytical skills. These innovations require fundamental shifts in teacher preparation, institutional culture, and assessment practices. Implementation will be gradual and require sustained commitment from educational leaders willing to prioritize human development over immediately measurable outcomes.

7. IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE EDUCATIONAL POLICY

7.1 Redefining Success Metrics

Educational success must be redefined to prioritize human flourishing over economic productivity. This requires developing new assessment methods that capture the qualities most essential for individual and social wellbeing creativity, empathy, ethical reasoning, intellectual courage, and capacity for meaningful relationships.

Long-term societal benefit assessment should replace short-term economic impact studies as the primary measure of educational effectiveness. This means following graduates for decades rather than years, examining their contributions to community welfare rather than just individual advancement, and assessing their role in addressing social challenges rather than merely achieving personal success.

Ethical leadership development should become a central educational objective with corresponding assessment methods. This includes measuring graduates' capacity for moral reasoning, their willingness to challenge unjust systems, and their commitment to serving others rather than merely advancing themselves.

These new metrics will be difficult to develop and implement, requiring longitudinal research, qualitative assessment methods, and philosophical clarity about human values. However, without such measures, educational institutions will continue optimizing for easily measured but ultimately less important outcomes.

7.2 Technological Integration Without Compromise

Responsible innovation frameworks must guide the integration of new technologies into educational environments. This means carefully evaluating whether technological tools enhance or diminish human development, support or undermine genuine learning, and strengthen or weaken meaningful relationships. dignity preservation should be the primary criterion for evaluating technological applications in education. Technologies that treat students as data points, reduce learning to algorithmic processes, or replace human relationships with digital interactions should be rejected regardless of efficiency gains.

Critical evaluation of "progress" narratives requires questioning assumptions about technological advancement and economic growth. Educational institutions should model thoughtful engagement with technology rather than uncritical adoption, helping students develop the wisdom necessary for navigating an increasingly complex technological landscape. This approach will require resistance to technological determinism and market pressures for rapid adoption of new tools. Educational institutions must maintain focus on their essential mission even when technological solutions promise immediate benefits or competitive advantages.

8. CONCLUSION: TOWARD EDUCATIONAL REDEMPTION

The path toward educational redemption requires acknowledging the fundamental incompatibility between education's authentic purpose and industry's legitimate objectives. While both serve important social functions, confusing them has corrupted both. Industry needs skilled workers, innovative researchers, and creative problem-solvers, but these emerge best from individuals who have first been educated as complete human beings rather than trained as economic units. Reclaiming education's soul from industrial capture demands institutional courage, philosophical clarity, and sustained commitment to human development over market demands. This is not anti-business sentiment but recognition that business itself benefits when conducted by individuals with strong ethical foundations, creative capacities, and genuine concern for human welfare. The current system produces neither excellent education nor optimal economic outcomes because it confuses fundamentally different purposes.

The imperative for conscious educational leadership has never been more urgent. Global challenges require individuals capable of complex thinking, ethical reasoning, and collaborative problem-solving precisely the capacities that authentic education develops but that instrumentalized education undermines. Climate change, social inequality, technological disruption, and political polarization all demand responses that emerge from wisdom rather than mere technical competence. Building bridges without compromising foundations represents the practical challenge ahead. Educational institutions can maintain productive relationships with industry while preserving their essential mission. This requires clear boundaries, explicit commitments to educational values, and willingness to sacrifice short-term advantages for long-term integrity. The stakes extend beyond individual institutions to encompass the future of human civilization itself, which depends ultimately on the wisdom and character of its educated citizens.

REFERENCES

[1] Doshisha University. (n.d.). Educational ideal. Retrieved from https://www.doshisha.ac.jp/en/information/educational_ideal/index.html
[2] Erickson, D. (n.d.). Comparison of educational philosophies. Augsburg University. https://web.augsburg.edu/~erickson/edc490/downloads/comparison_edu_philo.pdf

[3] Gao, M., Ping, L., Paramalingam, M., Sarfraz, M., Kamran, M., Maringe, F., & Sing, N. (2021). How harmful is the commercialization of higher education for the academic and social environment. Journal of Higher Education Research, 2(6), 428–434. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357589039_How_Harmful_Is_the_Commercialization_of_Higher_Education_for_the_Academic_and_Social_Environment

[4] Gidney, C. D. (2025). Baby, did you get your diploma?: The lived experiences of formerly incarcerated African American men in the pursuit and attainment of college degrees. Sycamore Scholars. https://scholars.indianastate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4152&context=etds

[5] Hanif, M., & Choudhury, R. (2022). The commercialization of higher education and its impact on the academic and social environment. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 4(6), 1244–1250. https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2022/6/1244.pdf

[6] Huang, L. (2016). Contrived conversions: The master narrative of educational uplift. eScholarship. https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3h55n6j2

[7] Kumar, S. (n.d.). Education in India past and present. SlideShare. https://www.slideshare.net/slideshow/a-policy-of-equality-and-equity-distribution-of-religious-education-and-its-implementation-at-islamic-senior-high-school-man-and-public-senior-high-school-1-sman-in-rantauprapat-labuhan-batu/77901411

[8] Pathak, A. (2019). Market-driven education: Reproducing alienation? Economic & Political Weekly, 54(33), 10–12. https://www.epw.in/engage/article/market-driven-education-reproducing-alienation-re

[9] Santamaría, G. del C. (2020). Challenges and drawbacks in the marketisation of higher education within neoliberalism. Review of European Studies, 12(1), 22–22. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338433223_Challenges_and_Drawbacks_in_the_Marketisation_of_Higher_Education_Within_Neoliberalism

[10] Wordsworth, W. (1807). Poems, in two volumes. Longman, Hurst, Rees, and Orme.

[11] The World Is Too Much With Us. (n.d.). Poetry Foundation. Retrieved from https://www.poetryfoundation.org/poems/45564/the-world-is-too-much-with-us

[12] The World Is Too Much With Us. (n.d.). Rupkatha Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities, 14(2). https://how.rupkatha.com/the-world-is-too-much-with-us/

[13] Yildiz, A. (2018). The destructive effect of Industrial Revolution on human nature in William Wordsworth's "The World Is Too Much with Us". Dergipark, 1(1), 1–6. https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/692285

[14] Amankwaa, A., Anku-Tsede, O., Ayentimi, D. T., Amankwah, M. O., & Kosi, I. (2024). Leading Universities During COVID-19: Towards Understanding the Role of Educational Leadership in Ghana. In The Palgrave Handbook of Crisis Leadership in Higher Education (pp. 277–296). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54509-2_15

[15] George, D., Dr.T.Baskar, & Siranchuk, D. (2025). The Evolution of Education 5.0 in the Innovation Era: A Review of the Progression from Teacher-Centered Learning to Student-Driven Models. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14944042

[16] Bygren, M., & Rosenqvist, E. (2020). Elite schools, Elite ambitions? The Consequences of Secondary-Level School Choice Sorting for Tertiary-Level Educational Choices. European Sociological Review, 36(4), 594–609. https://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcaa008

[17] George, D. (2024b). Reimagining India's engineering education for an AI-Driven future. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13815252

[18] Cunff, A. L. (2024b). Systematic Curiosity as an Integrative Tool for Human Flourishing: A conceptual review and framework. Integrative Psychological and Behavioral Science. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12124-024-09856-6

[19] George, D. (2024a). The potential of generative AI to reform graduate education. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10421475

[20] Deresiewicz, W. (2017, November 1). The disadvantages of an elite education - the American Scholar. The American Scholar. https://theamericanscholar.org/the-disadvantages-of-an-elite-education/

[21] George, D., & Siranchuk, D. (2025). From Teacher-Centered to Learner-Driven: A review of the progression towards Student-Centric Education models and Practices. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15041868

[22] Healthy Mind, Bright Future: The Importance of Mental Health in Education :: (n.d.). https://www.dsisfaridabad.com/blog-healthy-mind-bright-future-the-importance-of-mental-health-in-education-15.php

[23] George, D., George, A., & Dr.T.Baskar. (2024b). Exploring the Potential of prompt Engineering in India: A study on the future of AI-Driven job market and the role of Higher education. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10121998

[24] Jirout, J. J., Zumbrunn, S., Evans, N. S., & Vitiello, V. E. (2022a). Development and testing of the Curiosity in Classrooms Framework and coding Protocol. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.875161

[25] George, D., & Pandey, D. (2024). The evolution of education as a tool for corporate utility: From Industrial Revolution to Present-Day Vocational Preparation. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13620931

[26] Jirout, J. J., Zumbrunn, S., Evans, N. S., & Vitiello, V. E. (2022b). Development and testing of the Curiosity in Classrooms Framework and coding Protocol. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.875161

[27] Kidd, C., & Hayden, B. Y. (2015). The psychology and neuroscience of curiosity. Neuron, 88(3), 449–460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.010

[28] Lee, S. (n.d.). Healthy minds in classrooms. https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/integrating-mental-health-in-schools

[29] Lordan, G., McGuire, A., CEP, Education Endowment Foundation, & Bounce Forward. (2019). Healthy Minds: the positive impact of a new school curriculum. In CentrePiece (pp. 23–24). https://eprints.lse.ac.uk/101236/1/Lordan_healthy_minds_the_positive_impact.pdf

[30] Moore, S. A. (2017). A genealogy of open access: negotiations between openness and access to research. Revue Française Des Sciences De L'information Et De La Communication, 11. https://doi.org/10.4000/rfsic.3220

[31] Reporter, G. S. (2017, September 15). My elite, segregated education changed me – but not in the way you'd think. The Guardian. https://www.theguardian.com/inequality/2017/may/06/elite-school-us-new-york

[32] Sir Geoffrey Leigh Academy. (2025, January 28). Healthy Minds Curriculum - Sir Geoffrey Leigh Academy. https://sirgeoffreyleighacademy.org.uk/curriculum/personal-development/healthy-minds-curriculum/

[33] Ten, A., Kaushik, P., Oudeyer, P., & Gottlieb, J. (2021). Humans monitor learning progress in curiosity-driven exploration. Nature Communications, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26196-w

[34] The Disadvantages of an Elite Education (2008) | Hacker News. (n.d.). https://news.ycombinator.com/item?id=13241784

[35] Tucker, M. (2025, February 13). Education alone won't solve poverty, social isolation, and resentment (Opinion). Education Week. https://www.edweek.org/leadership/opinion-education-alone-wont-solve-poverty-social-isolation-and-resentment/2017/02

[36] Unknown. (n.d.). Healthy Minds Curriculum journey. https://webcontent.ssatuk.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/02103011/Healthy-Minds-Curriuclum-Journey-PSHE.pdf

[37] Waters, J., & Brooks, R. (2014). 'The magical operations of separation': English elite schools' on-line geographies, internationalisation and functional isolation. Geoforum, 58, 86–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2014.10.010

[38] Way, N., & Taffe, R. (2024). Interpersonal curiosity: a missing construct in the field of human development. Human Development, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1159/000542162

[39] Wikipedia contributors. (2024, December 29). The world is too much with us. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_World_Is_Too_Much_With_Us#:~:text=%22The%20World%20Is%20Too%20Much,and%20distancing%20itself%20from%20nature

[40] Wordsworth's Poetry: Themes | SparkNotes. (n.d.). SparkNotes. https://www.sparknotes.com/poetry/wordsworth/themes/#:~:text=Wordsworth%20repeatedly%20emphasizes%20the%20importance,to%20a%20love%20of%20humankind