

Volume: 01 Issue: 02 | April-June 2022

www.puirj.com

### Overview of Nanoparticles effects as well as Enhancement of Biogas from Bio methanation of Press mud

### Srinivas Kasulla<sup>1</sup>, S J Malik<sup>2</sup>, Nadeem Shaikh<sup>3</sup>, Lalit Madan<sup>4</sup>, Imran Patel<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Waste to Energy Expert, Arka Brenstech Pvt Ltd, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. <sup>2</sup>Biogas Expert, Eka Biofuels Pvt Ltd, Pune, Maharashtra, India. <sup>3</sup>Service Deliver Manager, Dubai, UAE. <sup>4</sup>Senior Technologist, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India. <sup>5</sup>Product Manager, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

Abstract - The digestion of press mud with nanoparticles were studied. Our research clearly shows that treating press mud with magnetite nanoparticles increases biogas generation and delivers greater methane yields than regular digestion without nanoparticles. This study showcases the overview of the effects of the nano particles also the enhancement of the biogas from press mud Nanoparticles of trace metals like Co, Ni, metal and  $Fe_3O_4$ were considered during this study to compare their effects on biogas and alkane series produced from the anaerobic digestion of sugarcane press mud. The most effective concentrations of nanoparticle additives were determined supported our previous studies, and were 1 mg/L Co nanoparticles, 2 mg/L Ni nanoparticles 20 mg/L Fe Nanoparticles and 20  $mg/L Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticles. These concentrations of nanoparticles additives were further investigated and compared to each different during this study and were found to significantly (p < 0.05) increase the biogas yield by 2.5, 2.8, 1.9 and 2.1 times as compared with the control, respectively. The methane yields considerably (p< 0.05) raised by 3, 3.4, 2.4 and 2.6 times the  $CH_4$  (methane) volume when compared with the other control. The outcome of this research showed that the Ni nanoparticles yielded the most biogas as well as methane percentage when compared with the other nanoparticles used.

*Keywords*: Press Mud, Biogas, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, sugar crystallization, nanoparticles.

### **1.INTRODUCTION**

Press mud is by-product of sugarcane. It is a solid residue which is generated from sugarcane juice prior to sugar crystallization. In India, press mud is commonly used as manure. It is a soft, spongy, lightweight, amorphous material that ranges in colour from dark brown to black. Sugar factory press mud normally comprises 65–80 percent moisture, 5–9 percent ash, and 20–28 percent volatile solids, with 73–75 percent organic content on solids. Its chemical structure is affected by a range of elements such as sugarcane type, soil condition, fertilizer application, the factory's clarifying process, ambient conditions, and so on.

### 1.1 Composition & Characteristic of Press Mud:

# Compound & ParameterPercentage (average)Cellulose11.4Hemi Cellulose10.0

Hemi Cellulose	10.0
Lignin	9.3
Protein	15.5
Wax	8.4
Sugar	5.7
Na	0.22
Moisture	76.3
Volatile Matter	76.6

Partners Universal International Research Journal (PUIRJ)

Volume: 01 Issue: 02 | April-June 2022

www.puirj.com

Sugars	6.4
Wax	7.2
C/N ratio	14

The research on nanoparticles and nanomaterials on biogas enhancement from sugarcane press mud is presented in this publication. It also investigates the possible influence of nanotechnology on microorganisms in the field of biogas, namely in biogas improvement This effort's main purpose was to establish a critical knowledge of nanomaterials by defining them according to European Commission's recommendations, characterizing feedstock, and demonstrating the influence on biogas improvement and its efficiency.

A nanoparticle is a small molecule that spans in size from 1 to 100 nanometres. Nanoparticles, which are imperceptible to the naked eye, can exhibit entirely unique physical and synthetic features to their larger material companions. According to the European Commission, the molecular size of at least half of the particles in the number size distribution should be 100 nm or less. Most nanoparticles are made up of a few hundred iotas. "A unique, coincidental, or synthetic material including particles in an unstable state, as a whole or as an agglomeration, and containing at least one outside measurement in the size range 1 nm - 100 nm for 50% or more of the particles in the number size distribution." The various explanations are inconsistent with their interpretation of the nature of synthesis or synthesis of nanoparticles. Current level of information available on the presence of nanomaterials products containing and nanomaterials on the market is not enough. As the definition of European Union is based on the distribution of spatial size particles of an object expressed in numerical metrics (EU Commission, 2011), almost always powder can be considered nanomaterial. However, the EU has already announced it review of its definition established in 2011: modified definition in light of new information and science and technology advancements. Reviews are appropriate mainly focus on what the 50% size limit should be increased or decreased (EU Commission, 2011).

### 2.MATERIALS, METHOD, AND PROCEDURE:

Fresh press mud samples was collected from a Sugar Mill from Maharashtra, and it was homogenized with water and ensured that the slurry total solid content was only 9%. All the parameters were checked before feeding the slurry to the anaerobic digester. All the parameters were continuously for 90 monitored days. The experiments were done with the Labio 50 ltr Model of Arka Brenstech Private Limited. The total solids, volatile solids and the ash content were regularly determined after every 10 days during the 90-days experiment. The digester was based on CSTR technology and batch feeding was done to this Labio which consists of a Biodigester, Feeding hopper, Agitator, Temperature maintenance system, Gas liquid separation unit, Gas collection unit, pH meter, Temperature meter, pH correction unit. Biogas meter and Analyser was used externally. The temperature was maintained steady, and it was at 38 Deg C. Biogas was measured on daily basis using the biogas meter.

Investigations have been conducted on a regular basis. using 50 L anaerobic digester and in batch operation mode. CO, Ni, Fe and Fe3O4 nanoparticles were used to study the effect of the nanoparticles on the efficiency of biogas production and compared with the other control. As mentioned, the concentrations were 1, 2, 10 and 20 mg/L. The digester was fed with sugarcane press mud slurry on a daily basis and after 30 mins interval daily at same selected time the nanoparticles were added separately to the anaerobic digester. Regular performance was noted down.

## 3.NANOPARTICLE'S IMPACT ON BIOGAS PRODUCTION:

All the nanoparticles when added to the digester improved the immediate startup of biogas production and reduced the lag commissioning



Partners Universal International Research Journal (PUIRJ)

Volume: 01 Issue: 02 | April-June 2022

www.puirj.com

period. The highest biogas startup was achieved when the press mud was treated with 2 mg/L Ni nanoparticles and was approximately 1350 ml biogas as an average in the first 6 days of HRT while 1 mg/L Co nanoparticles, 20 mg/L Fe nanoparticles and 20 mg/L Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles yielded 1200 ml, 1150 ml and 1240 ml respectively. However, the average gas production in the initial 6 days of the control slurry was only 340 ml. Similarly, the data was collected for 30 days HRT and it was found that the highest biogas yield was achieved using the addition of 2 mg/L Ni and 20 mg/L Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> which was around 2800 ml biogas. Furthermore, it was shown that the addition of 2 mg/L Ni nanoparticles resulted in the maximum biogas output for 40 days of hydraulic retention time, which was 102,594 ml biogas when compared to other additives. Furthermore, the biogas yields with 1 mg/L Co nanoparticles and 20 mg/L Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles added to the substrates were found to be insignificantly different, with 95,666- and 97,254-ml biogas yields, respectively. On the other hand, the addition of 20 mg/L Fe nanoparticles yielded 84,389 ml biogas, while the control yielded the lowest biogas production (p<0.05), and was only 53,490 ml. Moreover, the addition of 1 mg/L Co nanoparticles, 2 mg/L Ni nanoparticles, 20 mg/L Fe nanoparticles and 20 mg/L Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles significantly increased the overall biogas volume by 2.5, 2.8, 1.9 and 2.1 times as compared with the control, respectively. With the addition of 2 mg/L Ni and 20 mg/L Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles, the maximum daily methane production was achieved. Highest methane yields of 56,000 and 57230 ml CH4, respectively in comparison with the addition of 1 mg/L Co nanoparticles, 20 mg/L Fe nanoparticles which yielded 52,248, 23,120 ml CH<sub>4</sub> respectively. When compared to the control, the methane yields increased significantly (p 0.05) by 3, 3.4, 2.4, and 2.6 times the methane volume.

### **4.METHANE CONCENTRATION:**

The methane contents of the nanoparticle treatments compared with the control can be clearly seen in the above-mentioned details. The press mud treated with  $Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticles attained the highest methane contents during the startup of biogas production and was 40% as an average of first 6 days of the hydraulic retention time. It had the largest peak of methane concentration from day 25 to day 28 of the hydraulic retention time and contained more than 78 percent methane. Other nanoparticle additions did not achieve such high methane concentrations.

### 5.EFFECT OF NANOPARTICLES ON THE ORGANIC MATTER OF THE PRESS MUD:

The Total solids and the volatile solids were determined every ten days and was noted to track the exact decomposition of the organic matter through the 90 days of the experiment. The highest decomposition of the total solids in press mud samples were observed when the press mud was treated with 2 mg/L Ni nanoparticles and 20 mg/L Fe3O4 nanoparticles up to a final total solid concentration of 5% each respectively. Furthermore, the additives mentioned achieved the highest volatile decomposition which was almost 5.5% and 5.8% respectively at the end of the experiment.

### **6.RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS:**

The positive effect of nanoparticles on press mud showed and confirmed with the experiments that the Ni and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles yielded the highest biogas as well as the methane concentration when the same was compared to the CO and Fe nanoparticles, where the statistical analysis sowed insignificant difference of the methane yield with the addition of 2 mg/L Ni nanoparticles and 20 mg/L Fe3O4. It was also found that the highest methane production with 20 mg/L Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticles increased by almost 100% which is much higher than the methane yielded by Feng et al. at the dosage of 20 g/L increased by 48%. These results indicated that the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticles led to enhanced anaerobic digestion, and consequently to higher methane production and organic matter degradation. The presence of



Volume: 01 Issue: 02 | April-June 2022

www.puirj.com

Fe+2/Fe+3 ions, supplied into the digester in the form of nanoparticles that could be absorbed as the growth element of anaerobic microbes, is primarily responsible for the better performance in press mud digestion.

### 7. CONCLUSIONS

The use of trace metals in the form of nanoparticles reduced not only the lag phase but also the time necessary to attain maximal biogas output and methane concentration. Nanoparticles have also demonstrated that many stimulating effects on the methanogenic activity during the startup phase of the anaerobic digestion of press mud slurry process through the hydraulic retention time while the experiment ended. At the same time Ni nanoparticles produced the highest significant biogas production as well as methane concentration compared to CO, Fe and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and the control during the further experiments.

### REFERENCES

- E. Abdelsalam, Application of Laser and Nanotechnology to Increase Biogas Production, PhD Dissertation, National Institute of Laser Enhanced Sciences (NILES), Cairo University, Egypt, 2015.
- [2] Kasulla, Srinivas & Malik, S J & Siddiqui, Ahmad. (2021). Optimisation of Biogas Production using Nanotechnology. 10.5281/zenodo.4656046.
- [3] Danhong Yan, Guangfu Yin, Zhongbing Huang, Mei Yang, Xiaoming Liao, Yunqing Kang, Yadong Yao, Baoqing Hao and Dong Han Characterization and Bacterial Response of Zinc Oxide Particles Prepared by a Biomineralization Process. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 2009, 113 (17), pp 6047-6053
- [4] S J Malik, B B Gunjal, Srinivas Kasulla and Aparna Gunjal "Spentwash and Pressmud to BioCNG – An Overview" Published at Bioenergy from Sugar Industry – A way forward for sustainability, Nijalingappa Sugar Institute, Belagavi, April 2019
- [5] Farooq Ahmad and Ying Zhou . Pitfalls and Challenges in Nano toxicology: A Case of Cobalt Ferrite (CoFe2O4) Nano composites. Chemical Research in Toxicology 2017, 30 (2), pp 492-507

- [6] Aaron Elbourne, Samuel Cheeseman, Paul Atkin, Nghia P. Truong, Nitu Syed, Ali Zavabeti, Md Mohiuddin, Dorna Esrafilzadeh, Daniel Cozzolino, Chris F. McConville, Michael D. Dickey, Russell J. Crawford, Kourosh Kalantar-Zadeh, James Chapman, Torben Daeneke, Vi Khanh Truong. Antibacterial Liquid Metals: Biofilm Treatment via Magnetic Activation. ACS Nano 2020, 14 (1), pp 802-817
- [7] S J Malik, B B Gunjal, Srinivas Kasulla and Aparna Gunjal "Techno Commercial Aspects of BioCNG from 100 TPD Press Mud Plant" Published at 65th DSTA Annual Convention, Pune, October 2019
- [8] Jicheng Feng, Esther Hontañón, Maria Blanes, Jörg Meyer, Xiaoai Guo, Laura Santos, Laura Paltrinieri, Nabil Ramlawi, Louis C. P. M. de Smet, Hermann Nirschl, Frank Einar Kruis, Andreas Schmidt-Ott, and George Biskos . Scalable and Environmentally Benign Process for Smart Textile Nanofinishing. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 2016, 8 (23), pp 14756-14765
- [9] Srinivas Kasulla and S J Malik, "Enhancement in Biogas Production from Press Mud", Published in International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and technology (ijeast), ISSN No. 2455-2143, Volume-5, Issue 5, September 2020, pp 213-216
- [10] Joydeb Manna, Gousia Begum, K. Pranay Kumar, Sunil Misra, and Rohit K. Rana . Enabling Antibacterial Coating via Bioinspired Mineralization of Nanostructured ZnO on Fabrics under Mild Conditions. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 2013, 5 (10), 4457-4463
- [11] S J Malik and Srinivas Kasulla, "Biogas Production from Sugar Cane Press Mud and Sugar Cane Bagasse by Anaerobic Co-Digestion", Published in International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology (ijeast), ISSN No. 2455-2143, Volume-5, Issue 7, November 2020, pp 194-197
- [12] Jonathan C. Bennion, Leslie Vogt, Mark E. Tuckerman, and Adam J. Matzger. Isostructural Cocrystals of 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene Assembled by Halogen Bonding. Crystal Growth & Design 2016, 16 (8), pp 4688-4693
- [13]S J Malik, Aparna Saraf and Srinivas Kasulla "Biogas Production from Decanter Cake of Palm Oil Mill from South India" Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-5, Issue-1, December 2020, pp.1144-1147
- [14] Shaikshavali Petnikota, Naresh K. Rotte, M. V. Reddy, Vadali V. S. S. Srikanth, and B. V. R. Chowdari . MgO-Decorated Few-Layered Graphene as an Anode for Li-Ion Batteries. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 2015, 7 (4), pp 2301-2309
- [15]Madona Lien Paul, Jastin Samuel, Sushree Bedatrayee Das, Shikha Swaroop, Natarajan Chandrasekaran, and Amitava Mukherjee .

Partners Universal International Research Journal (PUIRJ)



Volume: 01 Issue: 02 | April-June 2022

www.puirj.com

Studies on Cr(VI) Removal from Aqueous Solutions by Nanoalumina. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 2012, 51 (46), pp 15242-15250

- [16]Krishna R Raghupathi, Ranjit T Koodali, and Adhar C Manna . Size-Dependent Bacterial Growth Inhibition and Mechanism of Antibacterial Activity of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles. Langmuir 2011, 27 (7), pp 4020-4028
- [17] Maolin Pang, Jiangyong Hu and Hua Chun Zeng . Synthesis, Morphological Control, and Antibacterial Properties of Hollow/Solid Ag2S/Ag Heterodimers. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132 (31), pp 10771-10785
- [18] J. A. Gardener, I. Liaw, G. Aeppli, I. W. Boyd, S. Fiddy, G. Hyett, T. S. Jones, S. Lauzurica, R. G. Palgrave, I. P. Parkin, G. Sankar, M. Sikora, A. M. Stoneham, G. Thornton, and S. Heutz. Oxide Nanoparticle Thin Films Created Using Molecular Templates. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2011, 115 (27), pp 13151–13157
- [19] Madhuchanda Banerjee, Sadhucharan Mallick, Anumita Paul, Arun Chattopadhyay and Siddhartha Sankar Ghosh . Heightened Reactive Oxygen Species Generation in the Antimicrobial Activity of a Three Component Iodinated Chitosan-Silver Nanoparticle Composite. Langmuir 2010, 26 (8), pp 5901-5908
- [20] Angélique Simon-Deckers, Sylvain Loo, Martine Mayne-L'hermite, Nathalie Herlin-Boime, Nicolas Menguy, Cécile Reynaud, Barbara Gouget and Marie Carrière. Size-, Composition- and Shape-Dependent Toxicological Impact of Metal Oxide Nanoparticles and Carbon Nanotubes toward Bacteria. Environmental Science & Technology 2009, 43 (21), pp 8423-8429
- [21] Elinor C. Spencer, Andrey A. Levchenko, Nancy L. Ross, Alexander I. Kolesnikov, Juliana Boerio-Goates, Brian F. Woodfield, Alexandra Navrotsky and Guangshe Li . Inelastic Neutron Scattering Study of Confined Surface Water on Rutile Nanoparticles. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 2009, 113 (12), pp 2796-2800
- [22]Sireesha Chemburu, Thomas S. Corbitt, Linnea K. Ista, Eunkyung Ji, Julia Fulghum, Gabriel P. Lopez, Katsu Ogawa, Kirk S. Schanze, and David G. Whitten. Light-Induced Biocidal Action of Conjugated Polyelectrolytes Supported on Colloids. Langmuir 2008, 24 (19), pp 11053-11062
- [23]Qingyun Chang, Lizhu Yan, Meixue Chen, Hong He, and, Jiuhui Qu. Bactericidal Mechanism of Ag/Al2O3 against Escherichia coli. Langmuir 2007, 23 (22), pp 11197-11199
- [24] Raj Ganesh S. Pala and, Horia Metiu. The Structure and Energy of Oxygen Vacancy Formation in Clean and Doped, Very Thin Films of ZnO. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2007, 111 (34), 12715-12722

- [25] Vanya Nayak, Kshitij RB Singh, Ajaya Kumar Singh, Ravindra Pratap Singh. Potentialities of selenium nanoparticles in biomedical science. New Journal of Chemistry 2021, 45 (6), pp 2849– 2878
- [26] Atul Bharde, Aijaz Wani, Yogesh Shouche, Pattayil A. Joy, Bhagavatula L. V. Prasad, and, Murali Sastry. Bacterial Aerobic Synthesis of Nanocrystalline Magnetite. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2005, 127 (26), pp 9326-9327
- [27] Zhe-Xue Lu, Lei Zhou, Zhi-Ling Zhang, Wan-Liang Shi, Zhi-Xiong Xie, Hai-Yan Xie, Dai-Wen Pang, and, Ping Shen. Cell Damage Induced by Photo catalysis of TiO2 Thin Films. Langmuir 2003, 19 (21), pp 8765-8768
- [28] Israt Jahan, Fatih Erci, Rabia Cakir-Koc, Ibrahim Isildak. Microwave-irradiated green synthesis of metallic silver and copper nanoparticles using fresh ginger (Zingiber officinale) rhizome extract and evaluation of their antibacterial potentials and cytotoxicity. Inorganic and Nano-Metal Chemistry 2021, 51 (5), pp 722-732